

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,567	12/05/2003	Tomoyuki Ichikawa	Q78637	7986
			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/727,567	ICHIKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) c ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the description of the description of the correction of the outer o	epted or b) objected to by the frawing(s) be held in abeyance. S on is required if the drawing(s) is o	tee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicate ty documents have been recei (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/2003.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dadafshar [US 6,420,953] in view of Six et al. [US 2,220,126]

Dadafshar discloses a transformer [figures 5-11] comprising:

- a core structure formed of a plurality of core elements[510, 520] having a central projection and two outer leg portions;
- at least one coil disposed around the central projection, wherein the coil form of a plurality of flat conductors having end portions and a ring-like portion, the end portions forming leading terminals of the coil;
 - gap formed between the core elements.

wherein the core elements sandwiching the coil therebetween.

Dadafshar discloses the instant claimed invention except for the coil are positions except a position that surround the gap.

Six et al. discloses an induction device comprising:

- a pot core type including core elements [1, 3, 4] with gap [5] formed therebetween and a projection element [1]; and

Art Unit: 2832

- a coil [2] disposed in the projection element of the core, wherein the coil disposed at positions except a position that surround the gap.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the coil at positions except a position that surrounds the gap in Dadafshar, as suggested by Six et al., for the purpose of controlling the magnetic flux of the device.

Regarding claim 3, the specific dimension between the leading terminals of the windings would have been an obvious design consideration for the purpose of controlling the inductance.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dadafshar in view of Six et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP 2973514 B2.

Dadafshar in view of Six et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for inclined surfaces formed in the projection element.

JP 2973514 B2 discloses a transformer comprising a core structure including a central projection element [2] having inclined surface [5].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to include inclined surfaces in the projection element of Dadafshar, as modified, as suggested by JP 2973514 B2, for the purpose of reducing leakage magnetic flux.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Bloom [US 5,726,615]; Wester et al. [US 6,114,932] and Gottschalt [US 3,855,561].

Application/Control Number: 10/727,567

Art Unit: 2832

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TW

Trugher T. Nguyen